Single Member Cabinet Decision

Executive Forward Plan Reference

E2540

(Various Roads, Bath and North East Somerset)(Prohibition and Restriction of Parking)(No Stopping On School Entrance Markings) (Authorised Parking Places) Order 201- – consideration of responses to public consultation

Decision maker/s	Cllr Caroline Roberts, Cabinet Member for Transport
The Issue	This report considers comments received in response to public advertisement of the (Various Roads, Bath And North East Somerset) (Prohibition And Restriction Of Parking) (No Stopping On School Entrance Markings) (Authorised Parking Places) Order 201-
Decision Date	27 July 2013
The decision	The Cabinet Member agrees that Proposals as advertised at the following sites are modified, as recommended in section 5 of the report (a summary of which is appended to this document). • Beechen Cliff secondary school • Ubley primary school • Marksbury primary school • Bathwick St Mary's primary school • Pensford primary school • St Saviour's primary school
	 Oldfield park infants' school Proposals at all other sites are implemented as advertised.
Rationale for decision	The proposals are designed to address operational traffic issues.
Financial and budget implications	Funding for the scheme is included in the 2013/14 Integrated Transport Block Capital Programme
Issues considered	Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillor; Cabinet members; Staff; Other B&NES Services; Local Residents; Other Public Sector Bodies; Section 151 Finance Officer; Monitoring Officer
How consultation was carried out	Ward Councillors, Emergency Services and local residents have been consulted via public advertisement. Internal staff have been consulted via circulation of this report.

Other options considered	None
Signatures of Decision Maker	
Date of Signature	
Subject to Call-in until 5 Working days have elapsed following publication of the decision	

Modifications agreed by the Cabinet Member:

PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTION:

<u>St Saviour's School (Avondale Buildings/Salisbury Road/Worcester Buildings):</u> That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. One entrance to the school is no longer used so zig-zags/waiting restrictions cannot be justified. Waiting restrictions on the east side of Worcester Buildings would also penalise church users as they have nowhere else nearby to park. Therefore recommend withdrawal of the proposal to implement the No Stopping on School Keep Clear markings restriction, and the proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings on the west side of Worcester Buildings; and the No Waiting At Any Time markings on the east side of Worcester Buildings adjacent to the church. Recommend that the proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings around the junctions of Avondale Buildings and Salisbury Road are implemented as advertised.

<u>Ashford Road/Moorfields Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Bath Road (Peasedown St John): That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Chantry Mead Road</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Cherwell Road/Lambourne Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Church Lane:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Church Road / North Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

College Road / Richmond Road: That the proposals are implemented as advertised. Only one objection was received to the No Waiting Between Times markings proposed on Richmond Road, as it was felt they would be visually obtrusive. It was felt however that with the use of black small diameter posts and small restriction signs the impact of the proposed markings would be minimal and should therefore be implemented as advertised.

Oldfield Park School (Dorset Close): That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. The photography studio objected to the proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time markings on the south east side of Dorset Close opposite properties 1 - 3, as this loss of parking would jeopardise the business. Therefore recommend withdrawal of this proposal. It was considered that the rest of the proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings, No Stopping On School Keep Clear markings and proposed 30 minute Limited Waiting Bay outside Oldfield Park Infants School should be implemented as advertised.

<u>Eldon Place</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Frome Road (Odd Down): That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Frome Road (Writhlington) / Knobsbury Lane / Old Road: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

High Street (Wellow) / Mill Hill: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

High Street (Midsomer Norton): That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Kelston Road</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Beechen Cliff School (Kipling Avenue/Shakespeare Avenue): That the proposals are

modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. Frontagers objected to the proposal to implement No Waiting At Any Time markings on the north side of Shakespeare Avenue outside property number 107, as it reduced overall parking stock, and was of no major benefit. It is agreed that this proposal can be dispensed with, therefore recommend withdrawal of this proposal. Recommend that the rest of the proposals are implemented as advertised.

<u>Lansdown Road</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Lansdown View: That the proposals are implemented as advertised. One objection was received to this proposal, however it was considered that the proposals would improve the safety for children around the school entrances so should be introduced.

<u>Marksbury School</u>: There are currently no school keep clear markings at this site. Villagers were contacted via leaflet drop explaining the proposals, and asking for their views. The majority of feedback was in strong opposition to the proposals, therefore recommend that the proposals are withdrawn.

<u>Meadway:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Northampton Buildings: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Oldfield Lane:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Pensford School (Pensford Hill)</u>: That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the school during the public consultation. The school objected to the proposal to implement No Waiting At Any Time markings on the east side of Pensford Hill, due to the need for the school oil tank to be accessed via the road from this location. Therefore recommend withdrawal of this proposal. Recommend that the rest of the proposals are implemented as advertised.

<u>School Lane (Chew Stoke)</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Tanner Close/Wells Road: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Ubley School (The Street)</u>: There are currently no school keep clear zig-zags in place. The Parish Council considered the proposed markings would adversely affect the character of the village, and also that the current level of parked vehicles act as a traffic calming measure. Therefore recommend that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented.

<u>Upper Oldfield Park:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

<u>Upper Stanton (Stanton Drew)</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received

PROPOSED LIMITED WAITING:

Bath Road (Peasedown St John): That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Bathwick St Mary's School (Beckford Road): That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the school during the public consultation. Parents were concerned that the proposed reduction from 1 hour to 30 minutes limited waiting would not allow them enough time to collect their children from both the infants and junior schools and return to their vehicles. Therefore recommend reducing the current 1 hour limit on the Beckford Road Limited Waiting bay to 45 minutes, instead of the proposed reduction to 30 minutes. Recommend that the proposed operation times of the bay and no return times are implemented as advertised.

Oldfield Park School (Dorset Close): That the proposals are modified to reflect the

feedback from the public consultation. The photography studio objected to the proposal to reduce the time restriction of the Limited Waiting parking bay on the east side of Dorset Close from 2 hours to 30 minutes. It was considered that the current time restriction of 2 hours provided available parking for customers of the photography studio so should remain unchanged. Therefore recommend withdrawal of the proposal to reduce limited waiting in this bay from 2 hours to 30 minutes. Recommend that the proposed 30 minute Limited Waiting Bay outside the school should be implemented as advertised.

PROPOSED RESTRICTED COACH PARKING:

<u>Upper Oldfield Park:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

PROPOSED REMOVAL OF EXISTING NO WAITING AT ANY TIME:

<u>School Lane (Batheaston)</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised, as available off street parking in Batheaston is at a premium. The local parish council and the church hall agree that the removal of this stretch of No Waiting At Any Time markings will provide much needed increase to the available parking.

PROPOSED REMOVAL OF EXISTING LIMITED WAITING PARKING BAY:

<u>Newlands Road</u>: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.